Introduction

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) requires that educational literature and other HIV/AIDS materials produced with CDC funding be submitted to a Program Review Panel. To comply with this mandate, Houston Health Department (HHD) Bureau of HIV, STD, and Viral Hepatitis Prevention requires organizations receiving HHD allocated CDC funding to submit literature and other materials (audiovisual, web content) to the HHD Program Review Panel (PRP) for review. The HHD additionally requires that literature and materials produced with other bureau allocated funding be reviewed by the HHD Program Review Panel.

Literature and other materials that meet the PRP criteria will be approved. If the PRP disapproves the material, it is the responsibility of the submitting organization to revise it to meet the PRP's criteria.

This document explains the Program Review Panel process and its requirements. The document includes:

I. Guidance for HHD Sub-Recipient Organizations
II. Guidance for Program Review Panel Members
III. Protocol for Materials Development and Submission and for Communicating Program Review Panel Decisions
IV. HIV/AIDS Training Curricula Guidelines.
V. Required Review forms

I. Guidance for HHD Sub-Recipient Organizations

As per Federal law and requirements established by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), HIV prevention service providers planning to develop literature and other materials to be used in their HIV prevention programs must submit the literature/materials for review by a Program Review Panel (PRP). Houston Health Department Bureau of HIV, STD, and Viral Hepatitis Prevention maintains an PRP comprising of community partners and department staff with varied expertise in HIV/AIDS prevention education.

The following guidance outlines the requirements for HIV/AIDS educational materials review.

Covered Materials

- Pamphlets/brochures/flyers/booklets
- Audio Messages
- Video Messages
- CDs and DVDs
- Posters
- Billboards
- Curricula/trainers’ guides
- TV and radio public service announcements
- Web pages
- Periodicals
- Comics
- Questionnaires/survey instruments
HHD-funded materials that do not include HIV prevention educational messages, for example, those that are designed solely for the purpose of outreach, program promotion, or client recruitment must also be submitted for review. (Such materials may include palm cards, flyers, pamphlets, posters, or Web-based content.) All promotional materials which cite Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and/or the Houston Health Department as funders of the material or the program for which it has been produced, must be submitted for review. Research instruments such as surveys and questionnaires do not need to be reviewed if they already have been approved by an Institutional Review Board (IRB). If they have not been approved by an IRB, they must be submitted to the HHD Program Review Panel.

Categories of Materials Requiring Review

HIV/AIDS materials to be developed by funded organizations and materials to be purchased must be submitted for review.

If a sub-recipient chooses to reproduce materials that have been already approved, review is not required unless:

- Documentation of the prior review and approval is unavailable. Before re-printing materials, contractors must provide their assigned contract administrator with documentation of prior approval.
- The intended target audience for the materials has changed

Materials that have been reviewed and approved in one format, e.g., a brochure, do not need to be reviewed again for reproduction in another format, e.g., website posting.

Categories of Materials Not Requiring Review

Materials developed by the following organizations do not need to be reviewed before being used in a HHD-funded HIV prevention program:

- Houston Health Department (HHD)
- Texas Department of State Health Services (DSHS)
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)
- Other federal, State of Texas, and City of Houston governmental agencies

Review Requirements

To meet the requirements of the review process, the Program Review Panel must review materials according to the following criteria:

- Scientific and technical accuracy.
- Compliance with the Basic Principles listed in the document, Program Guidance on the Review of HIV-Related Educational and Informational Materials for CDC Assistance Programs (June 2016). It is not necessary to include all the messages listed in the Basic Principles in every educational material. Reviews of materials should consider the consistence of the messages delivered in all the sub-recipient organization’s educational materials, ensuring that as a whole the materials are consistent with the Basic Principles.
• Appropriateness for target audience. Sub-recipients may gather additional input from members of the target audience(s) using questionnaires and focus groups.

For materials to be developed:

• Sub-recipients must seek initial conceptual approval from their assigned contract administrator before proceeding with the development of new materials. Sub-recipients must provide as much information as possible about the proposed materials, such as purpose of the materials, intended target audience, specific key messages, and planned distribution points (e.g., from agency offices, during outreach activities, etc.)

• Once the HHD contract administrator approves development of the proposed material, the sub-recipients may coordinate development of the material and ensure that a draft is submitted the assigned contract administrator. (The contract administrator will notify the PRP coordinator via e-mail when the material has been received.) Materials must be submitted with the summary cover page provided by HHD. The contract administrator will review the material to ensure contract-related appropriateness within three business days of receipt. If acceptable, the contract manager will forward the documents to PRP coordinator to be distributed for review. If not acceptable, the contract administrator will contact the agency and seek revisions to ensure that the materials are contract appropriate.

• The Program Review Panel will notify the sub-recipient in writing of its decision within 30 days of receipt.

For materials to be purchased:

• The sub-recipient submits a sample of the material to be purchased to their assigned contract administrator, with the summary cover page provided by HHD. The contract administrator will review the material to ensure contract-related appropriateness within three business days of receipt. If acceptable, the contract administrator will forward the documents to the Program Review Panel coordinator to be distributed for review. If not acceptable, the contract manager will inform the agency that the materials may not be purchased for the program.

• The Program Review Panel will notify the sub-recipient in writing of its decision within 30 days of receipt.

Program Panel Review Decisions

A minimum of five (5) PRP members must review each submission. Upon completion of review, literature and/or materials will be either:

• Approved as submitted. This means the material is approved as submitted because the material has met review requirements. The PRP Coordinator will provide written notification of approval to the sub-recipient via email. The assigned contract administrator, the Training Administrator, and the HIV Program Manager will be copied on the email notification.

• Approved with suggested changes. This means the material is approved because the material has met review requirements, but Panel members have suggested changes to strengthen the material. The PRP Coordinator will provide written notification of approval via email including suggestions to the sub-recipient. The assigned contract administrator, the Training Administrator, and the HIV Program Manager will be copied on the email notification.
• **Conditionally approved with required changes.** This means that the Panel has identified clear changes that need to be made to the material so that it meets review requirements, and when those changes are made the material is approved. The PRP Coordinator will provide written notification of conditional approval dependent on required revisions to the contractor. The letter will be signed by the HIV Program Manager. The assigned contract administrator, the Training Administrator, and the HIV Program Manager will be copied on the email notification. Contractors will re-submit the revised materials to the Panel Chair for written notification that the contractor may use the materials.

• **Not approved as submitted.** This means that the material does not meet the review criteria and must be completely revised. The PRP Coordinator will provide written notification of disapproval with the Panel reasoning to the sub-recipient. The letter will be signed by the HIV Program Manager. The assigned contract administrator, the Training Administrator, and the HIV Program Manager will be copied on the email notification. If the contractor chooses to revise the material, they must re-submit the materials to their assigned contract administrator as a new submission. The materials will go through the Program Review Panel again.

If the Program Review Panel authorizes the materials, the PRP Coordinator will review for final approval and sign-off. (This will not entail another complete review of the materials.) The Program Review Panel Coordinator will then communicate the final decision to approve or not approve the materials to the sub-recipients within 30 days of receipt of the material from the Contract Administrator.

**Note:** If materials are required as a contract deliverable, payment may be withheld for “conditionally approved with required changes” or “not approved as submitted” materials until the sub-recipient has made all revisions required by the Program Review Panel.

**II. Guidance for Program Review Panel Members**

The HHD HIV Program Review Panel (PRP) reviews printed, audiovisual, and web-based materials produced or purchased by community-based organizations receiving HHD allocated funding, whether the funding source is the CDC or the City of Houston. The following guidance is intended for Program Review Panel (PRP) members in the review of HIV/AIDS literature and other materials.

**The Law**

In response to legislation enacted by Congress, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) has required since 1985 that “all educational and related materials” produced with CDC funds “be reviewed by a Program Review Panel designated by the recipient. Since education about preventing HIV transmission involves effectively presenting sensitive subject matter, the purpose of this requirement has been to avoid disruptions of CDC-funded programs by requiring a careful consideration of the content and intended audience of the materials and programs.

In accordance with the legislation, CDC has established the following “Basic Principles” for materials review outlined in the *Program Guidance on the Review of HIV-Related Educational and Information Materials for CDC Assistance Programs (Updated June 2016)*:

*Controlling the spread of HIV infection requires the promotion of behaviors that eliminate or reduce the risk of acquiring and transmitting HIV. Messages to the public should emphasize the ways by which individuals can effectively protect themselves from acquiring or transmitting HIV.*
These principles are intended to provide guidance for the development and use of educational materials for CDC grantees, and are implemented by the establishment of Program Review Panels to consider the appropriateness of messages designed to communicate with various groups.

a. Written materials, audio visual materials, and pictorials, including social marketing and advertising materials, educational materials, social media communications (e.g., Facebook, twitter) and other electronic communications, such as internet/webpages should use terms, descriptors, or displays necessary for the intended audience to understand risk behaviors and explain less risky practices concerning HIV acquisition and transmission.

b. Written materials, audio visual materials, and pictorials, including social marketing and advertising materials, educational materials, social media communications and other electronic communications, such as internet/webpages should be reviewed by Program Review Panels to ensure the content is consistent with the provisions of Section 2500 (bd) of the Public Health Service Act, 42 U.S.C. Section 300ee(b-d), as follows:

"SEC. 2500. USE OF FUNDS.
(b) CONTENTS OF PROGRAMS - All programs of education and information receiving funds under this title shall include information about the harmful effects of promiscuous sexual activity and intravenous substance abuse, and the benefits of abstaining from such activities.
(c) LIMITATION - None of the funds appropriated to carry out this title may be used to provide education or information designed to promote or encourage, directly, homosexual or heterosexual sexual activity or intravenous substance abuse.
(d) CONSTRUCTION - Subsection (c) may not be construed to restrict the ability of an education program that includes the information required in subsection (b) to provide accurate information about various means to reduce an individual's risk of exposure to, or transmission of, the etiologic agent for acquired immune deficiency syndrome, provided that any informational materials used are not obscene.

c. Only materials that are providing HIV-related information for educational and informational purposes are required to be reviewed by Program Review Panels. Materials that do not require review by Program Review Panels are those that serve no educational purpose, e.g., organizational notifications, surveillance data, and change to dates/times of marketing materials.

d. Educational sessions should not include activities in which attendees participate in sexually suggestive physical contact or actual sexual practices.

e. Social Media Information posted on social media sites should align or be consistent with approved messages.

f. Organizations funded by CDC to provide HIV-related educational materials on their website must also post a notice on their home page informing viewers of the potentially explicit nature of HIV-related information.

g. CDC-funded organizations with materials that include links to other websites and social media sites must include a disclaimer notifying the viewer that they are leaving the grantee’s website when accessing the link(s).
Reviewer Considerations

1. Obscenity

Construction-Subsection (c) specifies that “any informational materials” not be “obscene.” The U.S. Supreme Court, in 1973 and 1987, ruled that local governments may restrict works if they lack “serious literary, artistic, political, or scientific value” and also are determined, in accordance with local “community standards,” to appeal to “prurient interest.”

In 1994, The Pennsylvania Law Review assessed the Court’s 1987 ruling:

In defining obscenity, the Court has advanced an incoherent formula that requires the application of "community standards" without any specification of what constitutes a "community"; the identification of national "reasonable" judgments about artistic and literary taste, a subject on which reason may be of little guidance and on which the nation is likely to have no consensus; the differentiation of healthy from "shameful or morbid" sexual interests; and the determination that speech is "patently offensive," a judgment which in nonsexual circumstances is a reason for protecting, not criminalizing speech.

The conceptual vagueness regarding what constitutes “obscenity” means that the term is of little use in assessing HIV/AIDS educational materials that may directly address sexuality, sexual practices, and sexual identity. This is why Program Review Panel review instruments require reviewers to determine whether “the content and language [are] appropriate for the target audience(s)” rather than whether such materials are “obscene.”

Reviewers also may be guided by the “serious literary, artistic, political, or scientific value” proviso of the Supreme Court ruling. In other words, HIV/AIDS educational materials that demonstrate scientific value, i.e., provide scientifically accurate information regarding HIV risk behavior and HIV prevention methods, cannot be deemed obscene.

However, Program Review Panel members should carefully assess materials that might be sexually frank or explicit. Panel members should pay particular attention to whether the explicitness is necessary and integral to the intended message and whether it is appropriate for its intended audience.

2. Content of HIV/STD Prevention Messages

As stated by CDC, HIV prevention educational materials “should use terms, descriptors, or displays necessary for the intended audience to understand dangerous behaviors and explain less risky practices concerning HIV transmission.” The HHD Program Review Panel review instrument accordingly asks reviewers to determine whether “the material use[s] terms, descriptors, or graphic elements necessary for the intended audience to understand dangerous behaviors, and explain[s] less risky practices concerning HIV and/or STD transmission.”

Materials that do not meet this criterion should not be approved.¹

In assessing materials, reviewers should determine whether they provide clear, consistent, and accurate HIV (and STD) prevention messages. Key messages include:

- How HIV is, and is not, transmitted

¹ The only exception is for materials that promote a particular program or service and do not contain actual messages about HIV transmission and prevention.
• How to prevent HIV transmission
• Condom use as the most effective prevention method for sexually-active persons
• The importance of HIV antibody testing and knowing one’s serostatus
• For HIV-infected individuals, the importance of treatment and care, and for adhering to safer sex and injection practices
• For injecting drug users, the dangers of this practice itself, and of sharing injection equipment, and the importance of using only previously unused and sterile syringes/needles
• Accurate information about sexually-transmitted infections other than HIV, and, when appropriate (as in the case of syphilis), the connection between acquisition of STDs and HIV risk.
• Accurate information on how to prevent STDs, including vaccination

Note: A single prevention education material does not need to include all of the above messages. For example, material targeted to persons with only sexual risk for HIV does not need to include messages related to injection drug use. CDC does recommend, however, that the totality of prevention education materials produced by an organization address as many of the above points as possible.

III. Protocol for Materials Development and Submission and for Communicating Program Review Panel Decisions

The HHD HIV Program Review Panel (PRP) reviews printed, audiovisual, and web-based materials produced or purchased by community-based organizations receiving HHD allocated funding, whether the funding source is the CDC or the City of Houston. Written materials (e.g., curricula, brochures, pamphlets, flyers, palm cards), web content, audiovisual materials (e.g., videos, DVDs, etc.), and pictorials (e.g., posters and similar educational materials using photographs, slides, illustrations) should use terms, descriptors, or displays necessary for the intended audience to understand dangerous behaviors, and explain less risky practices concerning HIV transmission.

The protocol for development, submission, and review of these materials is as follows:

• HHD-funded sub-recipients planning to develop materials must consult their assigned contract administrator before they begin development of the materials.
  o If planning to purchase existing materials, the contract administrator must be consulted prior to purchase.
  o The contract administrator will determine whether the proposed materials are appropriate for the program for which they will be used.
• Once the contract administrator has determined that the proposed materials are appropriate, the contract administrator will notify the sub-recipient of approval to proceed with the review process to develop or purchase the materials.
  o The contract administrator will copy the PRP Coordinator, the Training Administrator, and the HIV Program Manager on the email notification.
• Sub-recipients complete the Materials Review Cover Sheet and submit with the materials to their assigned contract administrator.
  o Agencies may request an expedited review under special circumstances. However, expedited review is at the discretion of the PRP Coordinator.
• The contract administrator will review the material to ensure contract-related appropriateness within three business days of receipt. The contract administrator does not suggest revisions of materials, as that is the Program Review Panel’s responsibility.
  o If acceptable, contract administrator will forward the materials, with the Materials Review Cover Sheet to the HHD Program Review Panel Coordinator to be distributed for review.
  o If not acceptable, the contract administrator will contact the agency and seek revisions to ensure that the materials are contract appropriate.
• The Program Review Panel Coordinator will distribute the materials to the Panel and the HIV Program Manager within five business days of receipt from the contract administrator.
• The Panel reviews materials submitted by agencies.
• The materials are approved, approved with suggestions, conditionally approved or disapproved based on the review criteria.
• Once the Panel’s decisions are compiled, the HIV Program Manager will review the final decision, and if necessary, consult with the Bureau Chief of HIV/STD and Viral Hepatitis Prevention.
• The HIV Program Manager and the Bureau Chief have the authority to revise or veto the Program Review Panel’s decision.
• In each case the PRP Coordinator will notify the submitting agency in writing of the Panel’s decision, within 30 days of receipt of the material by the contract administrator.
  o The notification is copied to the assigned Contract Administrator, the Training Administrator, and the HIV Program Manager. Copies are kept on file.
• In the event that the Panel decides that the material is conditionally approved and requires revisions before it can be approved for use, the Panel chair will include details of the required revisions in the letter.
  o The sub-recipient is informed that the material cannot be used until it has been revised.
  o The notification is copied to the assigned Contract Administrator, the Training Administrator, and the HIV Program Manager. Copies are kept on file.
• When sub-recipients submit revised materials, and the revisions are consistent with the Panel’s instructions, the PRP Coordinator will notify the organization in writing that the materials may now be used.
  o The notification letter is copied to the assigned Contract Administrator, the Training Administrator, and the HIV Program Manager. Copies are kept on file.
• If an organization declines to make the revisions required by the Panel, the Panel Coordinator will notify the Contract Administrator, the Training Administrator, and the HIV Program Manager.
• If the sub-recipient has questions about the Panel’s decision, the organization may contact the Panel Coordinator via email.

IV. HIV/AIDS Curricula Guidelines

The following guidelines have been developed for distribution to HHD-funded organizations whose HIV prevention programs require the development of training curricula. These organizations are required to submit their curricula to the HHD HIV Program Review Panel for review.
An organization funded to provide a CDC-endorsed DEBI (Diffusion of Behavioral Interventions) program does not need to submit a program curriculum unless the organization has tailored or adapted the DEBI curriculum. The PRP will determine whether the tailored/adapted curriculum may be used, i.e., whether it retains fidelity to the intervention’s core elements.

A curriculum is a blueprint for a training event or experience. It is a plan that establishes what will be done, why it is being done, and procedures for reaching the training objectives. The following guidelines are intended to assist planners in developing curricula that meet the needs of trainers and participants alike.

Please note that although trainer manuals and training curricula are related documents, they are not the same. A curriculum contains the material that trainees will learn; a trainer manual instructs trainers in how to provide the learning material to trainees. For the purposes of materials review conducted by the HHD HIV Program Review Panel, organizations must submit curricula, so that Panel members can assess (for accuracy and appropriateness) the learning material, i.e., the actual HIV-related content that will be delivered in training sessions or events.

Each of the following guidelines can be applied to a range of training formats, including face-to-face, online, and interactive and satellite-based trainings.

1. Define the purpose of the training and the target audience
   The first step is to determine what the training is to accomplish and for whom, e.g., train youth to be HIV peer educators, educate injecting drug users to reduce their risk for HIV and hepatitis, improve the self-efficacy of young gay men to use condoms.

2. Determine the Needs of Training Participants
   The specific needs of training participants will influence the development of a curriculum’s learning objectives and guide the choice of activities and training strategies. There are several ways to determine training needs. A needs assessment enables the identification and measurement of gaps between participants’ existing knowledge and/or skills and the knowledge and/or skills needed for trainees to become, for example, effective peer educators of other youths. Prospective trainees can be asked to complete a brief written survey in advance of a training event. Focus groups comprising members of the target audience for a training event can be conducted before a training curriculum is developed. Regardless of how the needs assessment is conducted, it is important to know the following about training participants: their roles and responsibilities; any previous training they may have had on the particular topic or topics; their reasons for attending a training event, and their specific needs and expectations from a training event or program.

   It is critical, however, that the needs assessment be conducted early enough so that its findings can be used to design the training curriculum.

3. Define Goals and Objectives
   After assessing the needs (and expectations) of prospective trainees, define the goals and objectives of the training. Clearly defined goals and objectives are essential to:
   - Clarifying expected outcomes of the training
   - Outlining the content of the training (what is covered in the curriculum)
   - Planning specific training activities
   - Selecting materials to be used in the training
• Designing evaluation procedures
• Communicating the programmatic purpose of the training to the participants
• Ensuring that the training curriculum is realistic and appropriate for the intended purpose

Goals should be broad statements of what will change and who will be affected as a result of the training.

Example: “To increase knowledge of HIV/AIDS among peer educators in Houston.”

Objectives are more specific in establishing a means for achieving the broader goal(s). They should state as specifically as possible the desired, measurable result of the training, including what will change, who will change, under which conditions, and to what extent.

Example: “By the end of the training, participants will be able to identify five ways to reduce the risk of HIV infection.” “By the end of this training module, participants will be able to define harm reduction as an approach to working with active drug users that aims to reduce drug-related harm experienced by individuals and communities.”

4. Development of Training Content (Learning Material)
Once the priorities (goals and objectives) have been determined, the content or learning material should be outlined in a draft curriculum. The curriculum should organize the learning activities so that the outcomes identified by the training objectives are achievable. Each activity should have an introduction, a main segment, and a wrap-up segment. The introduction should briefly describe the purpose and content of the activity and establish a connection between the activity and the one that preceded it. Learning activities should flow logically from one to the next.

In structuring curriculum content, it is important to:
• Block out the time schedule for the training into segments. Fill in “known” elements such as lunch or breaks. Then fill in specific activities. Finally, assign a time period to each designated activity.
• Begin with simpler concepts and proceed to more complex ones.
• If the training curriculum will include topics that may be sensitive or perceived by trainees as “threatening,” begin with less sensitive/threatening topics and proceed to ones that are more sensitive.
• If the curriculum is to contain activities that require intense concentration, schedule these for times during the training when participants are likely to be most focused and energetic, e.g., at the start of the training session or event. Interactive activities are best scheduled during “low-energy” times, such as after lunch.
• Review the curriculum design with a critical eye to the number and types of activities. To ensure that meaningful learning occurs, it may be necessary to reduce the number of objectives.

In developing training activities, employ strategies appropriate to meeting the objectives. Skill-building is best achieved through modeling of the desired skill(s), practice, and feedback.

Trainees can best acquire information through group discussion or collaborative group work. Trainees may have different learning styles; some learn best by listening, others by reading, and
others by doing. An effective curriculum takes into account the learning styles of participants, the size of the group to be trained, and the educational level and/or prior experience of the participants.

Each activity included in the training curriculum should include a wrap-up component that reviews concepts, answers questions, and discusses application of what has been learned. During the wrap-up, trainers may ask participants questions such as, “What will you do as a result of...? What major concepts emerged? What is your response to....?” It is important to keep in mind that additional materials will be needed to support the training activities of the curriculum. These may include handouts, research findings, case studies, bibliographies, or questionnaires.

5. Evaluation
Evaluation determines the extent to which the training achieved its objectives, and helps to identify what changes, if any, need to be made to the curriculum. The evaluation form or questionnaire should be designed to determine if:
- the participants acquired the knowledge and/or skills the training was supposed to provide
- the trainers were knowledgeable about the curriculum content and delivered it effectively
- the training format was appropriate
- the training activities engaged and held the interest of participants

V. REVIEW FORMS

All forms are available on the Houston Health Department’s website at
The HIV Program Review Panel (PRP) of the Bureau of HIV/STD & Viral Hepatitis Prevention reviews written, audiovisual and web-based materials produced by HIV prevention service providers who are funded by the Houston Health Department. The PRP ensures that all materials are scientifically and technically accurate, are appropriate for the target audience, contain no obscenities and are in compliance with the Basic Principles set by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsible Party</th>
<th>Duties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Recipient</td>
<td>• Consult HHD Contract Administrator before developing or purchasing the material.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHD Contract Administrator</td>
<td>• Determine if the proposed materials are appropriate for the program which they will be used.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• When approved, notify sub-recipient to proceed with the review process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Recipient</td>
<td>• Complete the Materials Review Cover Sheet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Submit materials along with cover sheet to the assigned Contract Administrator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHD Contract Administrator</td>
<td>• Determine that the materials are compliant with the terms of the contract under which they were produced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Forward the sub-recipient materials with the Materials Review Cover Sheet to the Program Review Panel Coordinator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Complete the PRP Tracking Sheet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Maintain one copy of the materials submitted for review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRP Coordinator</td>
<td>• Distribute the materials package which includes the coversheet, the appropriate review form, and the materials to be reviewed to the Panel within five business days of their receipt and sends a copy to the Training Administrator.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRP Members</td>
<td>• Review materials and complete materials review form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Submit materials review form within deadline given by PRP Coordinator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRP Coordinator</td>
<td>• Finalize review with the Training Administrator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Notify the agency in writing of the Panel’s decision within 30 days of receipt of the material by the Contract Administrator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Copy the assigned contract administrator, the Training Administrator, and the HIV Program Manager on the email notification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Answer sub-recipient questions about the Panel’s decision via email</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Notify the Training Administrator of any declinations of revision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract Administrator</td>
<td>• Complete the PRP Tracking Sheet with the outcome of the first decision and updates as needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Ensure a copy of decision letter is placed in the working file with a copy of the material submitted for review.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The content of websites (including related links) is subject to the same review and approval requirements as other forms of educational materials. Organizations funded by CDC to provide HIV-related educational materials on their website must also post a notice on their home page informing viewers of the potentially explicit nature of HIV-related information.

**Website Disclaimers**

One of the following disclaimers must be displayed prominently on the website that are most likely to be encountered by viewers of the HIV/AIDS content.

1. This site contains HIV prevention messages that may not be appropriate for all audiences.
2. This site contains HIV prevention messages that may not be appropriate for all audiences. If you are not seeking such information or may be offended by such materials, please exit this web page.
3. This site contains HIV prevention messages that may not be appropriate for all audiences. Since HIV infection is spread primarily through sexual practices or by sharing needles, prevention messages and programs may address these topics. If you are not seeking such information or may be offended by such materials, please exit this web page.
4. Since HIV is spread primarily through sexual practices or by sharing needles, prevention messages on this site may address these topics. HIV prevention materials funded by CDC must be approved by local review panels. However, the materials may be considered controversial by some viewers.

Links which connect the viewer to web pages that depict sexual activity or drug use for purposes other than the prevention of HIV or sexually transmitted disease are expressly forbidden.

Links to other websites and social media sites must include a disclaimer notifying the viewer that they are leaving the grantee’s website when accessing the link(s).